



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,853	12/08/2003	Nam-Ki Min	51876P435	6429

8791 7590 03/07/2007  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

TRAN, QUOC DUC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/07/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/730,853

Applicant(s)

MIN ET AL.

Examiner

Quoc D. Tran

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9 and 15-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,8,9 and 15-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al (2003/0054863).

Consider claim 17, Lee et al teach a mobile terminal, comprising a memory unit for storing caller information (Fig. 2); and a radio frequency transmitter for transmitting data including caller information during a voice call over a different channel from a channel for the voice call after a call connection is set up (¶ 0039-0041).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15-18 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paik et al (6,675,008) in view of Lee et al (2003/0054863).

Consider claims 15 and 17, Paik et al teach a mobile terminal (col. 5 line 41), comprising: a radio frequency (RF) receiver for receiving data including caller information *during a call*; a memory unit for storing the caller information to be linked with a telephone number of a caller terminal; and a controller for controlling a mobile terminal to display the caller information when a paging signal is received (col. 6 lines 1-46; Fig. 1).

Paik et al did not suggest the RF receiver receives caller ID data over a different channel from the channel for voice call after the call connection set up. However, Lee et al suggested such (§ 0039-0041) for efficiently transmitting image data over the network.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lee et al into view of Paik et al for the reason described above.

Consider claim 16, Paik et al teach wherein the caller information is automatically stored in the receiver terminal by linking a telephone number contained in the data with a telephone number stored in a telephone directory (col. 11 lines 12-20).

Paik et al did not suggest the RF receiver receives caller ID data over a different channel from the channel for voice call after the call connection set up. However, Lee et al suggested such (§ 0039-0041) for efficiently transmitting image data over the network.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lee et al into view of Paik et al for the reason described above.

Consider claim 18, Paik et al teaches the limitations as claimed (col. 6 lines 1-46).

Consider claims 23 and 25, Lee et al teach wherein the caller information is transmitted in the form of a packet (§ 0041).

Consider claims 24 and 26, Lee et al teach wherein the difference channel is a reverse traffic channel (§ 0040).

5. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 19-20 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Partridge, III (H1714) in view of Hsu (5,907,604) and further in view of Lee et al (2003/0054863).

Consider claims 1 and 8, Partridge, III teaches a system and method for displaying caller information (abstract), comprising: a caller terminal for storing caller information and transmitting data including the caller information during a call (col. 2 lines 16-23); a service system for receiving the data including the caller information from the caller terminal and transmitting the data to a receiver terminal (col. 2 lines 40-52); and the receiver terminal for receiving the data from the service system, and displaying the caller information when a paging signal is received (col. 3 line 58 – col. 4 line 8).

Partridge, III did not suggest the receiver terminal for storing the caller information to be linked with a telephone number of the caller terminal. However, Hsu suggested such (col. 2 lines 15-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hsu into view of Partridge, III in order to provide the identification of the caller in future calls.

Partridge, III did not suggest the RF receiver receives caller ID data over a different channel from the channel for voice call after the call connection set up. However, Lee et al suggested such (§ 0039-0041) for efficiently transmitting image data over the network.

Art Unit: 2614

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lee et al into view of Partridge and Hsu for the reason described above.

Consider claims 2 and 9, Hsu teaches wherein the caller information is automatically stored in the receiver terminal by linking a telephone number contained in the data with a telephone number stored in a telephone directory (col. 2 lines 15-20).

Consider claim 19 and 21, Lee et al teach wherein the caller information is transmitted in the form of a packet (§ 0041).

Consider claims 20 and 22, Lee et al teach wherein the difference channel is a reverse traffic channel (§ 0040).

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 8-9 and 15-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_ (explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

**(571) 273-8300**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Art Unit: 2614

Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7511**. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on **(571) 272-7499**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2600**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QUOC TRAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

  
AU 2614

February 22, 2007